Sword of Damocles

The Federal Immigration Act has been sharply criticized in recent weeks by two highly placed observers, one a Supreme Court Judge and the other an Ontario Cabinet member.

In trying a deportation appeal last month, Mr. Justice Stewart of the Ontario Supreme Court expressed himself shocked by the latitude allowed to the special inquiry officers of the Immigration Department, who inquire into doubtful cases and decide officers of the **Immigration** Department, who inquire into doubtful cases and decide whether intending immigrants shall be refused admission and whether "landed immigrants" already living in Canada shall be deported. Counsel for the Department claimed that this officer was entitled to act claimed that this officer was entitled to act on his own opinion and to be as arbitrary as he liked, Mr. Justice Stowart to act to

as possible". A similar observation was made last week by Provincial Sceretary Phillips. He described the Immigration Act as "probably one of the most controversial and complex acts in existence". He went on:

acts in existence". He went on: In the broadest of terms, it permits entry to Canada as landed immigrants only to those who, in the opinion of offi-cials of the Department of Citizenship and Immigration, are likely to be suc-cessfully integrated into our social and economic structure. Decision in this matter is largely a matter for the indi-vidual immigration official concerned. Mr. Phillips noted that the fear of deportation was "a bogeyman" with many immigrants. They were so afraid of coming under the Department's notice that even when they were in extreme want they were afraid to apply for welfare assistance. He also noted that unscrupulous persons were exploiting this fear of deportation for their own purposes. own purposes.

These fears are all too understandable. The Immigration Act is indeed a complex document, arranged in a most baffling manner and drafted in purest officialese. It is hard going even for Canadians familiar with Ottawa gobbledygook, and it must be per-fectly unintelligible to any immigrant. The statute might be better called the Deporta-tion Act since the great bulk of it deals not with the reception of immigrants but with the exclusion of those seeking entry, and the deportation of those already here. The intention of those who framed it was

The intention of those who framed it was to keep these proceedings completely under the control of the Department. A wide variety of causes for exclusion or deporta-tion are set out, and special inquiry officers are authorized, virtually at their own discre-tion, to remove individuals from Canada for any of them. The only appeal permitted is to a board appointed by the Minister, and appeals to the courts against a deportation order are forbidden. (The legal profession, however, seems to have found waxs around

however, seems to have found ways around this prohibition, in some cases at least.) As a final touch, the Government is per-mitted to make additional regulations by Order-in-Council on some of the most vital subjects, including the exclusion of immi-grants on readal grounds: the rules in this grants on racial grounds; the rules in this regard do not appear in the Act at all. The average immigrant must feel that the statute

average immigrant must feel that the statute is a sword of Damoeles suspended over his head, ready to fall at any time at the whim of some arbitrary authority in Ottawa. The present Government has introduced some improvements in this legislation and its enforcement. The jurisdiction of the appeal boards, originally limited to residents of Canada against whom a deportation order was sought, has been extended to immi-grants refused admission. These latter are of Canada against whom a deportation order was sought, has been extended to immi-grants refused admission. These latter are now being told, for the first time, the rea-sons for their exclusion; this used to be kept a deep, dark secret. Far more compre-hensive reforms are needed, however. The enforcement of the Act, like that of other statutes should be placed in the hands of the courts, not the Department of Immigra-tion. An individual accused of an ordinary offense, and threatened with fine or im-prisonment, is entitled to a proper trial; why prisonment, is entitled to a proper trial; why not an immigrant threatened with the more severe penalty of deportation?